
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,530	YASUDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: prior to first action	
(1) <u>Jeanine A Goldberg</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shrinath Malur.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 September 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Q. Lodbeg		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/003,530

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called on numerous occasions to discuss the application with Mr. Malur. The examiner indicated that with a few amendments to overcome 112/2nd issues and terminal dislocalmers for 6,093,370 and 6,218,126 and 10/003,305 and 10/086,713, the case would be in condition for allowance. The applicant indicated they were willing to filed the amendments and the terminal disclaimers.